

4-bit Linearity Test

We have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\varepsilon &\leq 2\mathbf{Pr}_{x,y,z}[\text{accepts}] - 1 = 2\mathbf{E}_{x,y,z}\left[\frac{1}{2}(1 + f(x)f(y)f(z)f(xyz))\right] - 1 \\ &= \mathbf{E}_{x,y,z}[f(x)f(y)f(z)f(xyz)] \\ &= \mathbf{E}_{x,y,z}\left[\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} \hat{f}_\alpha \hat{f}_\beta \hat{f}_\gamma \hat{f}_\delta \chi_\alpha(x) \chi_\beta(y) \chi_\gamma(z) \chi_\delta(xyz)\right] \\ &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} \hat{f}_\alpha \hat{f}_\beta \hat{f}_\gamma \hat{f}_\delta \mathbf{E}_{x,y,z}\left[\chi_{\alpha \oplus \delta}(x) \chi_{\beta \oplus \delta}(y) \chi_{\gamma \oplus \delta}(z)\right] \\ &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} \hat{f}_\alpha \hat{f}_\beta \hat{f}_\gamma \hat{f}_\delta \mathbf{E}_x\left[\chi_{\alpha \oplus \delta}(x)\right] \mathbf{E}_y\left[\chi_{\beta \oplus \delta}(y)\right] \mathbf{E}_z\left[\chi_{\gamma \oplus \delta}(z)\right] \\ &= \sum_{\delta} \hat{f}_\delta^4 \leq \max_{\delta} \hat{f}_\delta^2, \end{aligned}$$

and thus there exists δ such that $|\hat{f}_\delta| \geq \sqrt{2\varepsilon}$.

3LIN to 3SAT

Let's use additive notation, instead. Note that

$$x \oplus y \oplus z = 1$$

if and only if

$$(x, y, z) \notin \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 1), (1, 0, 1), (1, 1, 0)\},$$

i.e., if and only if

$$(x \vee y \vee z) \wedge (x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z) \wedge (\neg x \vee y \vee \neg z) \wedge (\neg x \vee \neg y \vee z).$$

This gives 3LIN with n equations \rightarrow 3SAT with $4n$ clauses,

- $(1 - \varepsilon)n$ equations satisfied $\rightarrow (1 - \varepsilon)4n$ clauses satisfied
- at least $1/2 - \varepsilon$ equations not sat. \rightarrow at least $(1/2 - \varepsilon)n$ clauses not sat.

Hence, $\text{Gap3LIN}_{1-\varepsilon, 1/2+\varepsilon}$ reduces to $\text{Gap3SAT}_{1-\varepsilon, 7/8+\varepsilon/4}$.

Graph Linearity Test, $k = 3$

For $T = (1 + f(x)f(y)f(xy))(1 + f(x)f(z)f(xz))(1 + f(y)f(z)f(yz)) - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}8\varepsilon &\leq 8\Pr_{x,y,z}[\text{accepts}] - 1 = 8\mathbf{E}_{x,y,z}[(T+1)/8] - 1 = \mathbf{E}_{x,y,z}[T] \\&= \mathbf{E}[f(x)f(y)f(xy) + \dots + f(y)f(z)f(xz) + \dots + f(xy)f(xz)f(yz)] \\&= \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \hat{f}_\alpha \hat{f}_\beta \hat{f}_\gamma \mathbf{E}[\chi_\alpha(x)\chi_\beta(y)\chi_\gamma(xy)] + \dots \\&\quad + \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} \hat{f}_\alpha \hat{f}_\beta \hat{f}_\gamma \hat{f}_\delta \mathbf{E}[\chi_\alpha(y)\chi_\beta(z)\chi_\gamma(xy)\chi_\delta(xz)] + \dots \\&\quad + \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \hat{f}_\alpha \hat{f}_\beta \hat{f}_\gamma \mathbf{E}[\chi_\alpha(xy)\chi_\beta(xz)\chi_\gamma(yz)] \\&= 4 \sum_{\alpha} \hat{f}_\alpha^3 + 3 \sum_{\alpha} \hat{f}_\alpha^4 \leq \max_{\alpha}(4\hat{f}_\alpha + 3\hat{f}_\alpha^2)\end{aligned}$$

and thus exists α such that $8\varepsilon \leq 4\hat{f}_\alpha + 3\hat{f}_\alpha^2$, implying
 $\hat{f}_\alpha \geq \frac{2}{3}(\sqrt{1+6\varepsilon} - 1) > \varepsilon$.

Optimality of Graph Linearity Test, Fourier Coefficients

Let us switch to the multiplicative notation, so

$$g(y) = \prod_{i=1}^n (-1)^{(1-y_{2i-1})(1-y_{2i})/4}$$

for $y \in \{-1, 1\}^{2n}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{g}_\alpha| &= \left| \mathbf{E}_y[g(y)\chi_\alpha(y)] \right| \\ &= \left| \mathbf{E}_y \left[\prod_{i=1}^n (-1)^{(1-y_{2i-1})(1-y_{2i})/4} \prod_{i=1}^n \chi_{\alpha \cap \{y_{2i-1}, y_{2i}\}}(y) \right] \right| \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \left| \mathbf{E}_{y_{2i-1}, y_{2i}} [(-1)^{(1-y_{2i-1})(1-y_{2i})/4} \chi_{\alpha \cap \{y_{2i-1}, y_{2i}\}}(y_{2i-1}, y_{2i})] \right| \\ &= 2^{-n}. \end{aligned}$$

Optimality of Graph Linearity Test, Linearity in a Subspace

Random k -dimensional subspace L : choose vectors v_1, \dots, v_k independently and take L as their linear span.

- Prob. v_1, \dots, v_k not linearly independent $\rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- Coordinates $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{F}_2^k$: $y = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i v_i$.

$$\begin{aligned}g(y) &= \sum_{a=1}^n y_{2a-1} y_{2a} = \sum_{a=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i v_{i,2a-1} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j v_{j,2a} \right) \\&= \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{a=1}^n v_{i,2a-1} v_{i,2a} \right) x_i + \sum_{i < j} \left(\sum_{a=1}^n v_{i,2a-1} v_{j,2a} + v_{i,2a} v_{j,2a-1} \right) x_i x_j\end{aligned}$$

Linear if the coefficient at $x_i x_j$ is 0 for every $i < j$.

v_1, \dots, v_k independent, want probability that for all $i < j$,

$$c_{i,j} = \sum_{a=1}^n v_{i,2a-1} v_{j,2a} + v_{i,2a} v_{j,2a-1} = 0.$$

- Imagine choosing the odd coordinates, $b_i = (v_{i,1}, v_{i,3}, \dots, v_{i,2n-1})$ for all i , first.
 - A.a.s. as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for $i = 1, \dots, k$, there exists a_i such that $b_{i,a_i} = 1$ and $b_{j,a_i} = 0$ for $j \neq i$.
 - Consider fixed b_1, \dots, b_k with this property (*).
- Let $u_i = (v_{i,2}, v_{i,4}, \dots, v_{2n})$ be the yet unchosen coordinates: $c_{i,j} = \langle b_i | u_j \rangle + \langle b_j | u_i \rangle$.
- Due to (*), for any $t \leq k - 1$ and any d_1, \dots, d_t ,

$$\Pr[c_{1,t+1} = c_{2,t+1} = \dots = c_{t,t+1} = 0 | u_1 = d_1, \dots, u_t = d_t] = 2^{-t};$$

hence,

$$\Pr[c_{1,t+1} = c_{2,t+1} = \dots = c_{t,t+1} = 0 | c_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } i < j \leq t] = 2^{-t}.$$

$$\Pr[c_{ij} = 0 \text{ for all } i < j] = 2^{-1} 2^{-2} \dots 2^{-(k-1)} = 2^{-\binom{k}{2}}$$